



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 09/894,519 | 06/28/2001 | Attila Narin | MSFT-0259/158415.2 | 5975 |
| 41505 | 7590 | 04/19/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 | | | | THEIN, MARIA TERESA T |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 3627 |

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/894,519 | NARIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Marissa Thein | 3627 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2005.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-13, 15-17 and 25-36 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-13, 15-17 and 25-36 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-4-05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's "Reply Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.111" filed on October 27, 2006 has been considered.

Claims 1-13, 15-17, and 25-36 are pending in this application and an action on the merits follows.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on August 4, 2005 is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9-13, 15-17, 25-33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,331,865 (hereinafter "Sachs") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,917,923 (hereinafter "Dimenstein").

Regarding **claim 1**, Sachs discloses a method for facilitating the purchase and viewing of electronic content comprising: storing, on a first computing device(20) located at a network address, a list of web sites (via directory 26) which distribute digital content items; and providing a set of computer-executable instructions to a plurality of second

computing devices (10, 12, 14; see Figure 1), said set of computer-executable instructions including instructions to perform acts comprising: rendering said digital content items (see ABSTRACT, lines 7-9, "rendering the requested digital content"); and retrieving said list from said first computing device (see column 2, lines 59-65), said network address being included within said set of computer-executable instructions (see column 3, lines 55-60); **[claims 2 and 9]** providing data indicative of said network address to said plurality of second computing devices (communication via ISP 34; see Figure 1); **[claim 3]** digital content is text (see column 1, line 38); **[claim 7]** storing a URL for each web site (it is inherent that URL's will be stored for accessing websites), one or more items of text (see column 1, line 38); and a graphical image (see column 1, line 38); **[claim 10]** providing computer-executable instructions which contact said one of said web sites (via ISP 34); **[claim 11]** browsing web pages on a computer network (see column 8, lines 65-67); providing a user interface which integrates said rendering, retrieving, and browsing acts (via display screen 230); and **[claim 12]** using a stand-alone web-browsing program for retrieving (see column 8, lines 65-67).

Regarding **claim 13**, Sachs discloses a method of supporting electronic commerce comprising: storing a directory of web sites (26); receiving a request from a computing device to add a one of said web sites to a list stored on said computing device (when the owner of the computing device initially activates service, the owner inherently requests the addition of web sites to a list on the device via directory 26); and uploading data indicative of said one of said web sites to said computing device for storage in a registry on said computing device (the data is stored in directory 26 on

system 20, but the data is accessed via a graphical user interface on the computing device 10, wherein the computing device 10 inherently includes some data indicative of said one of said web sites); distributes digital content (see column 1, lines 37-41); **[claim 15]** the directory includes HTML file (see column 6, lines 6); **[claim 16]** downloading an HTML file for viewing with a browser (inherent) and said browser renders clickable buttons (see Figure 2); and **[claim 17]** a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions to perform the method of claim 13 (it is inherent that each computing device will have a computer-readable medium for executing the method).

Regarding **claim 25**, Sachs discloses a method of facilitating electronic commerce comprising: storing, at a first computing device (20) having a network address, data indicative of commerce sites; providing to a second computing device (10): data indicative of said network address; and a set of computer-executable instructions which: contact said first computing device (20) at said network address to obtain at least some of said data indicative of said commerce sites, whereby said at least some data is stored on said second computing device (10) in a predetermined location; engage in communication with a one of the commerce sites whose indicative data is stored in said predetermined location (i.e. the primary bookstore); exclude contact with sites whose indicative data is not stored on said second computing device (10) in said predetermined location (see column 11, lines 20-37); **[claim 26]** distributes digital content (see column 1, lines 37-41); **[claim 27]** engage a stand-alone web browsing program (see column 6, line 1-35); **[claim 28]** said network address

comprises a URL (see column 5, line 50); and [claim 29] said commerce sites includes a URL (see column 5, line 50 and column 7, lines 22).

Regarding **claim 30**, Sachs discloses a method of purchasing digital content comprising: starting a content rendering program (see column 2, lines 35-38) on a first computing device (10), said first computing device (10) storing an address of a second computing device (20); obtaining from said second computing device (20) an address for a third computing device (i.e. primary bookstore); using said content rendering program to connect to said third device; and placing an order for digital content with said third computing device (see column 6, lines 1-35); **[claim 31]** storing the address of said third computing device on said first computing device (via directory 26); **[claim 32]** placing an order for text (see column 1, lines 35-38); **[claim 33]** obtaining from said second computing device (20) a description of a web site hosted by said third computing device (i.e. primary or secondary bookstore); and **[claim 35]** obtaining a URL (see column 5, line 50 and column 7, lines 22).

Regarding limitations added in the most recent amendment, Sachs fails to explicitly disclose limiting the set of web sites that vend or distribute content based on meeting the terms of an offer of to sell space on the list.

Dimenstein teaches the limiting the set of web sites that vend or distribute content based on meeting the terms of an offer of to sell space on the list (col. 4, lines 12- 18; col. 3, lines 39-44; col. 5, lines 9-20; col. 1, lines 39-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sachs, to include the limiting the set of web sites that

vend or distribute content based on meeting the terms of an offer of to sell space on the list, as taught by Dimenstein, in order to provide a list of web sites maintained by a personal computer that is deemed to be one of a plurality of acceptable sources that are authorized to download (Dimenstein, col. 4, lines 14-16).

Regarding **claims 4-5**, Sachs substantially discloses the claimed invention, however, fails to explicitly disclose digital content comprising audio, video, or software for download. Sachs does disclose a method and system for electronically distributing digital contents, which include textual, graphical and pictorial information (abstract).

Dimenstein, on the other hand, teaches the use of digital content including audio, video, and software (see column 4, lines 21-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sachs with the distribution of audio and video digital content as taught by Dimenstein, because distributing a wider selection of content will increase the size and potential revenue earned by the distributor.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sachs in view of Dimenstein (see claim 1) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,324,288 (hereinafter “Hoffman”).

Sachs and Dimenstein fail to explicitly disclose digital content comprising software for download.

Hoffman teaches the use of digital content including software (see column 3, lines 35-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sachs/Dimenstein with the distribution of software digital content, as taught by Hoffman, because distributing a wider selection of content will increase the size and potential revenue earned by the distributor.

Claims 8 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sachs in view of Dimenstein (see claims 1 and 13) and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,763,334 to Matsumoto et al.

Sachs and Dimenstein fail to explicitly disclose an offer to sell space on said list or directory.

Matsumoto teaches an offer to sell space on said list or directory (col. 3, line 44 - col.4, line 19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sachs/Dimenstein with the offer to sell space on said list or directory, as taught by Matsumoto, in order to provide revenue for the seller.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sachs in view of Dimenstein (see claim 30) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,403 to Dorak, Jr.

Sachs and Dimenstein fail to explicitly disclose associating a logo with a web site.

Dorak, on the other hand, teaches logo to web site links (col. 28, lines 58-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sachs with logos as is well known in the art, because logos give a visual representation of the web site, wherein building or reinforcing the web site's brand.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13, 15-17, and 25-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Thein whose telephone number is 571-272-6764. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mtot
April 12, 2006

ST B.M. Ault

STEVE B. MCALLISTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER